

Alexandria, VA 22313-1404

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/820,693	03/30/2001	Robert J. O'Donnell	015290-509	5643
7	590 01/05/2004		EXAM	INER
Peter K. Skiff	•		KACKAR	, RAM N
BURNS, DOA	NE, SWECKER & MATI	HIS, L.L.P.		
P.O. Box 1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

1763

DATE MAILED: 01/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		V.			
· -	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/820,693	O'DONNELL ET AL.			
•	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ram N Kackar	1763			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 10 December 2003 FAILS TO PLAGE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of time from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the latatulory period for renly originally set in Statulory period for renly originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	period set forth in of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c)					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.			
P.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:	. , , _				
	e Suri di Terman	GORY MILLS BY PATENT B CLOGY CENTER			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/820,593

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicants remarks have been considerd and not found to be persuasive. The cited references adequately disclose or suggest the limitations of the claims in regards to cerium oxide coating or bulk part by Rungta, Itou, Qian and others as discussed in the final office action.